

Vezelis

July 1, 1986

Dr. Eberhard Heller  
Anna-Dandler Str. 5/11  
D - 8000 Munich -60

Dear Dr. Heller,

I have received your little note and have been expecting some news from you. Since this time, it has come to my attention that Rev. McKenna is to be consecrated on August 4 in France by Mgr. Guerard des Lauriers.

I have just sent him a letter this morning, urging him not to do this because he will compromise himself completely. He will have fallen into the trap prepared for him so that like others he will have no credibility among Roman Catholics. As a result - a result that is clearly foreseen by those who have conceived this plan - Mgr. des Lauriers will not be with those of us who will not contribute to the humiliation of the Roman Catholic Church.

Rev. McKenna has been taken to court on several occasions by his own parishioners for his violent and peculiar conduct. Just one week ago, he was taken to the civil court for creating a wild disturbance in his very own chapel. The judge sentenced him for "breach of the peace" and said he could do no more because he could not involve himself in religious matters. The judge insisted that Rev. McKenna tell him who his bishop was. Finally, Rev. McKenna told him: "Bishop Musey". Consequently, if we are to accept the logical consequences, it must be observed that Rev. McKenna is under the penalty of heresy because he supports an heretical bishop. In this way, Mgr. des Lauriers involves himself with these abnormal individuals and becomes enmeshed in the same subversive activity.

I am completely astonished at the lack of prudence and caution of these men who have somehow become bishops. They have only given the proof to what I have feared years ago: that if the priests who fight among themselves and propose strange doctrines become bishops, nothing will be changed - only this: fighting and propagandizing of strange doctrines will be done on the level of the episcopacy! There is a saying: "Qualis frater, talis pater". This may be applied to a degree one step higher: "Qualis pater, talis episcopus."

I am sending you a copy of my letter to Mgr. des Lauriers so that it will be known that an attempt was made to halt this ridiculous consecration. Having urged Mgr. des Lauriers to avoid involvement in this matter, there is nothing I can do. I will only be able to do what Msgr. des Lauriers does for the other FRENCHMEN whom Msgr. Ngo had consecrated: "Je les ignore" - I ignore them. This shall be our policy regarding the imprudent consecration of McKenna if God so permits. If this insanity continues, even the Devil himself will abandon these madmen, and only the all-merciful God will be able to tolerate them until judgment day.

It is not necessary to point to the Vatican to say that the "smoke of Satan has entered the Church." The greatest obstacle to a return to right

order is the deliberate confusion and disorder maintained by individual priests who have made a comedy of Catholic doctrine and morality, and Church law. They spread their errors with apparent disregard for the laws which they use for their advantage. Like their Protestant predecessors, they only seek each other for the purpose of mutual recognition because they know as Catholics, they have none. They need not fear the New Order Conciliar Church because very few in the Conciliar Church bother with them. They destroy each other because Satan is more their leader than Jesus Christ.

I would ask you to consider the enclosed news article in which Rev. McKenna appears. The staring, glaring eyes of this "exorcist" give the impression that he is the one in need of exorcism. It is Church law that no one may engage in exorcism without the explicit permission of his bishop. The example of Fr. McKenna is enough to prove the wisdom of the canon law in this matter.

The mental instability of this man is also proven by his constant changing of his mind. He is unable to make a decision. After publicly stating the praiseworthy actions of Mgr. Ngo, he now (1984) places all this in question. More: he actually questions the APOSTOLIC SUCCESSION! This is quite absurd.

In the same letter which you have before you, you will observe that Fr. McKenna (like those who influence him) continues to distort the text of Canon Law in order to give himself jurisdiction. Likewise, please note that he claims to have refused consecration because he is not certain to receive Apostolic Succession. Since nothing has changed, why does he seek to be consecrated a bishop...so that he can enjoy the uncertainty of his apostolic succession?

In closing this letter, Dr. Heller, I would ask you an honest question: Would you really expect me to take these kind of people seriously and dishonor myself and the office of the bishop by associating with them? I take them seriously - but only from the point of view of the great harm they are doing to souls. For this they will have much to answer on the day of judgment.

Assuring you of sincere wishes for you and your family, I send you all my blessing.

+   
+ Fr. Louis Vezelis, O.F.M.