

Vezelis

January 27, 1986

Dear Dr. Heller,

I thank you sincerely for your letter of last December and would like very much to put your mind at ease by offering you the following for your kind consideration and understanding.

First of all, I am most concerned with your own peace of mind regarding the matter of Dr. Storck. This seems to me a very important matter.

As you know, Dr. Storck was consecrated by Msgr. des Lauriers against your efforts to forestall this consecration. Also, my long distance telephone call to Paris, urging with courtesy to await my arrival in France before proceeding to such a consecration was of no avail. I have received letters from Dr. Storck but, out of respect for your position, both personal and public, I have not made any contact with him. I did, in fact, tell the people in Munich not to attend Mass by Dr. Storck because of several reasons. The first reason was that I could not encourage people to attend a Mass of a man whom I did not know. Secondly, in view of the fact that he had been asked to be the bishop for Germany by you whom I myself respect and judged to be genuinely Catholic, I could not logically respect an intruder-bishop.

It was not my intention to recognize Dr. Storck beyond the simple fact that he had been validly consecrated by Msgr. des Lauriers whom, I assume, you yourself recognize. I can only assume, also, that Msgr. des Lauriers made some kind of inquiry regarding Dr. Storck prior to the consecration. Perhaps I misunderstood one of your articles in the Einsicht regarding Dr. Storck. You may certainly correct me if I am in error. However, it seems to me that you also recognized the validity of Dr. Storck's consecration. And, this is the only thing that I did in the Seraph.

I am placed in a very difficult situation: I do not wish to cause you any pain by even suggesting that I would honor and deal with people who have been so unjust and vicious towards you by taking the bread out of the mouth of your family. To me, this is an abomination; a sin crying to heaven for vengeance. How can I, my dear friend, even think of collaborating with such injustice?! On the other hand, to dismiss beforehand a consecration performed by Msgr. des Lauriers whom I also respect would be a grave injustice and insult to him. Why is it that we are constantly presented with impossible dilemmas? My only recourse to wisdom is the sanctuary of silence.

Now, there is this to consider: The fact that you print articles by Msgr. Carmona and seem to have confidence in this man causes in me great apprehension and suspicion. You think you know this man. You think that

because he attended the invalid consecrations of the mentally incompetent Rev. Siebert and the senile death-bed candidate Rev. Altenbach, two glorious "bishops" emerged in the United States who promptly obeyed their gracious consecrator and blindly launched the vilification of another bishop. You refer to "Bishop" Altenbach. But, do you really know what happened? Have you any idea of the hoax that was perpetrated making a mockery of the high office of bishop in the Roman Catholic Church?

Msgr. Carmona was urged not to involve himself in these sacrilegious, attempted consecrations. He was warned that this involvement would compromise him because the doctrinal orthodoxy of Rev. Altenbach was in grave doubt. More than doubt, it was certain. His own words were that he "follows the opinion of Bishop Musey". When informed that I would not agree to such a ceremony in my territory, his answer was: "There is no Pope, so there are no territories." "No hay papa; no hay territorios". Then, shortly later, Msgr. Carmona becomes "Primate of Mexico" as Musey becomes "Primate of the United States". The tragic-comedy is complete when these new "bishops" obediently and arrogantly form a "unanimity" which exists only in their deluded imaginations.

Msgr. Carmona has seriously compromised himself and is more than just an "obscure" bishop: he has imprudently allowed himself to be used by Musey in the attempted consecration of a heretic. Musey attempted to implicate even Msgr. Zamora because Msgr. Zamora would have nothing to do with Musey. Musey even attempted to involve me in these bogus consecrations by pretending in front of people that he expected me to be present. And the people, not knowing what this man really is, innocently believed him. There are some men who find pleasure in spreading rumors and for whom lying is no problem because it is inborn in them.

If you would like, I can consecrate several bishops and form a "unanimity" and make whatever accusations I would wish. I am certain that "my" bishops would be very happy to oblige me. The more a lie is repeated, the more it becomes true. Your own countryman, Goebbels, knew this only too well and was able to deceive most of Germany. If Goebbels could do it, why should his admirer, Musey, not succeed? For, certainly, the Devil helps his own.

You suggest, my dear friend, that a Roman Catholic bishop should sit down with heretics and lend his support to the greater mockery of the Catholic Church. I would remind you, Dr. Heller, that I attended a meeting in Acapulco, Mexico, in 1983 together with Msgr. Ngo. There, the bishops studied a document prepared by Msgr. Carmona. Respecting his age and alleged wisdom, and that of the other bishops present, I added my signature to a document which did not satisfy my personal demands for evidence in the matter under consideration. Nevertheless, assured by the bishops that the statements contained in the document were beyond doubt, I trusted them and added my name to the document. This very document, you ridiculed and would not print in the *Einsicht*. Admittedly, when I translated the document in a condensed form, the entire thing seemed very weak. Yet, I printed this out of respect for the bishops who prepared it. You considered this statement by the bishops as too childish to print. Personally, I could agree with you. How can you want me to deal seriously with these same individuals? How can YOU deal with them yourself?

It is very important to keep things in their proper perspective. This is

also true of chronological order. It is a fact that the Mexican bishops were already divided among themselves BEFORE I went to Mexico even the first time with Archbishop Ngo. It was at this first meeting that I learned that Musey was (or stated that he was) a Jew. I have learned that they call themselves "Lebanese" to hide their identity.

The situation is truly grave for the Roman Catholic Church because evil men have succeeded to grasp the office of bishop and now abuse it. There is nothing but anarchy among those who claim to be Catholic, both among the clergy and the laity. This situation is not blessed by God because God is a principle of order, not chaos. I do not believe, therefore, that those men who have somehow induced Archbishop Ngo to consecrate them are serving the Roman Catholic Church. How can they, when they are excommunicated for heresy? Do you wish me to be associated with such men? On the contrary, I must stay as far away from such individuals as possible. It is a blessing in disguise that Musey has spread his perverted rumors. It should show clearly that I am in no way connected with him and all that he represents. His mask will fall with time. As a bishop for the Judaeo-masonic Birch Society, Musey has aggressive and unscrupulous company to further his ambitions. This is to be expected. As a bishop of the Roman Catholic Church and FOR the Roman Catholic Church, I already expect violent persecution of all kinds. It is for this reason that I accepted consecration as a bishop UT CRUCEM. As my Master stood almost alone, I am not surprised to stand almost alone. The disciple is not above the Master. I am a bishop because God willed it so. I do not fully understand His ways; but I believe He is able to draw much good from great trials. It is, therefore, essential to love Him because love inspires trust and obedience.

There is no hope, Dr.Heller, for the Catholic Church in these men. "Unless the Lord builds the house, they who build, build in vain." There can be no hope because there is no genuine faith, both doctrinal and practical. Doctrinal faith has been denied on many occasions; practical faith (which is charity) certainly does not exist. There only exists a superficial shell to deceive the simple and promote a comfortable living for the priestly prostitutes to mammon. A 'prostitute' is a person who sells the body for money; a priest does this when he sells his priesthood for money

Increasing deviations from Catholic teachings and Church Law come to my attention. Direct and indirect denials of Catholic doctrine on the part of the "Lebanese" and his defrocked "Vicar General" are added evidence that anyone who respects himself as a Roman Catholic must separate himself from these scoundrels. I do not wish to play games with either my own soul or the souls of others. But, I do not wish to associate myself with anyone who is not Roman Catholic. I am certain that these are your sentiments also.

Regarding your question about using the pressure of obedience to recall a seminarian, I would only say this: I have never done such a thing because if I send a seminarian home, it is because he should be sent home. Having done this, I would have no reason to have an undesirable man return. Only those have been sent away who proved beyond doubt to lack a priestly vocation. We have been badly used by those who control Msgr.Carmona. I cannot write certain information in this letter. I would only warn you,

dear friend, to be very careful with your connections in the South. It is my sincere and honest observation that you and Dr.Hiller have been badly used.

I sincerely hope that these few words of explanation will serve to guide you in understanding my position which desires to be in line with the position of the Roman Catholic Church.

Things are going quite well at the seminary. There would be greater progress if the people outside our walls behaved more like Catholics.

I have been wondering about the matter of sending Fr.Francis to Munich to help Fr.Pniok. Because there must be order and consistency, I cannot send a priest into confusion. Christ has said: Either you are with me, or you are against me. We wish to be with Christ and His Church. We are with Christ. Those with whom we work must be of the same mind. Otherwise, we are neutralized like the majority of Catholics who have grouped themselves apart from Church authorities. The Canon Law of 1918 gives us a good guide in the direction we must take.

Again, my dear friend, I wish to assure you that I do not desire anything that is not Catholic and will not associate myself with any group or individuals who are not completely Catholic.

I send you and your family my prayers and my blessing for a prosperous New Year: of spiritual blessings as well as material; that in good health you may continue working for the building up of the Roman Catholic Church.

Sincerely yours,

Fr.Louis Vezelis,O.F.M.

P.S. I have just received a letter from Msgr. des Lauriers informing me that Musey plans a visit to France. Musey also plans to visit Msgr.Storck. You may be certain that his purpose is to draw these men into his web. He has been doing this around the United States with no success. We Roman Catholics will have nothing to do with this man.