

Tampico, 10 de marzo de 1986

Dr. Eberard Heller
"Einsicht"
Munich

Dear Dr. Heller:

I hope you have received my recent letter; now I continue with the questions. I told you I fear to can not write because my illness has damaged my eyes and I have a crisis when I can not see well for write or I must not do it because the -- light damage me, and for the bad circulation I have "entoptical phenomenos". But I am a little better now and slowly I want to tell you all pending questions.

1.- To finish with Mgr. Zamora question I must insist in that those of the oath (that it is not demanded in the fact so seriously and not always,) is only a pretext of Mgr. Zamora to have an explanation for his conduct. I think, and I must say this for the good of the Cause, that it is his own love and arrogance of Mgr. what has conducted him to calumniate us, only because we advertice him about several questions were doing damage to the Union and to the catholics. To the Union with his friends, and to all catholics with things we begged him to correct, as to going very fast in the celebration of the H. Mass and omitting prayers (of the Mass). And the custom he had lately, of begin to sing piety chanticus during the Mass, to invite people to sing. We begged him in private, to correct this questions, but over all that of Mr. Arévalo who certainly we think he probably is an infiltrated.

¿Do you think that because we have in our Statutes approved by all interested, an oath to keep secret about something that is for the good of the Cause and of the people too in our circumstances, A REALLY MOTIVE TO ACUSE US, WELL KNOWN CATHOLICS, of the Union, to be Masons, infiltrated to damage the Saint Church? Other things Mgr. Zamora has no discover; he can not prove other "crimes". Before giving to the press his declarations payed by Arévalo, Zamora went several times with Mgr. Carmona to beg him to declare finish the Union, because we the laymen wanted (he said) to manage the bishops and priests. And I have letters where Arévalo insists to Mgr. Carmona that my mother is jewish, so, not a converted jewish, but an infiltrator, and I now that Mgr. Zamora insisted so.

And you must know, Mgr. Zamora before this, was coming here to Tampico frequently and was our confessor!... If somebody knows our consciences it is Mgr. Zamora, and certainly my mother is not jewish and not I! They affirm this a cause our german appeal; we are very proud of our origin, and if I have hear sometimes that Wolff is a jewish-german appeal, I am more proud, because if it is really, than we descend of those who recognized Our Lord Jesuchrist.

Mgr. Zamora when he came here to Tampico for last time, told me so terrible things he thought that I begged to him to think in his responsibilities in the presence of God, when he was doing this affirmations about my mother I knew, and about a priest of the Union, he M. Zamora tolde me he was also a jewish, without any motive. Mgr. Zamora affirmed to me that father Lavagnini ~~has-voluntary-killed~~

a young woman that was a cathequist of his parrochia! This because father Lavagnini was an infiltrator jewish! The question was this: Father Lavagnini was going in his car to a village with several layman included this woman, who was seating with him in the front of the car. Without his guilt he has a terrible accident, where this woman died. I was terrible for Father Lavagnini who had a crisis for this, eventhless he had no guilty. And about so terrible question Mgr. Zamora anoly saw a voluntary crime! When he tolde me this, I begged him to stop in this in other calumnies about us. I told him I knew he was telling everybody that my mother was a jewish, and I only accepted then the holy Sacraments because my mother was very ill; she didn't knew has M. Zamora told about she, (I must not give her more preoccupations) and she knew he was in the city and wanted to have sacraments. I, for last, had confession with him, begging him once more in confesion to abandone the bad counsels of Mr. Arévalo and to retire him of the religion course of the Union in México, repiting to him the causes I knew. But he don't promise nothing about, and defended Arévalo. After this as I told you in one last when M. Zamora published his declarations, Mgr. Carmona and the dirigentes of Union in México invited to Mgr. Zamora and Arévalo to an interview in México, to discuss friendly the questions for the good of the Saint Church, but this had no exit.

So, I think that now Mgr. Zamora is traying to gain you to his ideas. He is converted in an enemie worst of all our enemies, Mgr. Martínez who signed with he the declarations and abandoned the Union, by other hand, we don't know is working against us, now.

Mgr. Zamora celebrates H. Mass and gives sacraments to people (all people, not only of the Union) in two (or three) curches of the Union. San Pablo Atlazapn, San Mitchel Arcangel in Cuernavaca, and in the church of Atlatlahucan. There the member of the Union have admitted him for the necessity of sacraments, but with the condition of don't speak bad of the Union. You can ask Mgr. Zamora if to asist to this churches of the Union is require an oath!... Beg him to -- answer! And ask him about those he affirmes to be infiltrators, make him prove that I am a mason and Anacleto too. Here the question is, ;to whom believe?

Now, the cause of the attitude of Mgr. Zamora an M. Martínez It is hard to found an explanation. It is to study, no?....

We wish very much the return of Mgrs. Zamora and Martí-
nez, but with this experience what is better? and How are they going to do a public declaration against their own lies about us? This would be a miracle. But we can not have more confidence in them, or you think that yes, if they return?....

.

I continue this after some very bad days with my illness.

2.- Question Mgr. Carmona and Seminary.

As I haad told you Friends of Guadalajara had told me that they were going to put the seminary for Mgr. Bravo, and at last they told me that "they hav had a reunion to evaluate the results of the labor of bishops, and they thought it is better to wait"; I know when they say better to wait, generally it is NO to the promises.

Now I must tell you something hard to hear, but you must know all truth. Friends are decepcioned as all us about the conduct of Mgre. Vezelis, Zamora and Martínez, but now also a little about Mgr. Carmona, because He ordained priest a man (37 years old), doctor in medicine, without any study in seminaries, and against the will of all us in the Union. This man was working for the group of the city of Mérida, and have had a story of problems with members of the Union. There are people of the Union, and not of the Union; those that are not in the Union begged Mgr. to ordein him, and Mgr. acceded. I wrote him begging him to don't do this and all the consequences of His action, but Mgr. didn't answer me. I knew about the consecration by the friends of the Union in Mérida, Mgr. had% not tolde me this himself. Mgr. didn't hear us all. The name of the ordeined man is Francis Ripoll, and he is going on with his office of priest in Mérida with the people that is with him.

Well, when Mgr. came in november, I asked him again about Ripoll, and Mgr. told me that he had did this because he can not go so far (certainly the city is very far) and the group there was without sacraments. That he was going to see that father Ripoll on-ly attend that group, and that he qas not going to repeat the action

Well, certainly Mgr. Carmona and Bravo are very tired, exhausted with the 25 centers of people they attend, but we think that the remedy for this is not to ordein mans without preparation. Here in Tampico we stay without Masses or Sacraments more than a year as the last time, and we have patience and wait, because we know there is no remedy. We prefere Mgr. attends to other groups that to us, because we think here we are best prepared to live - (with the help of God- with the only Faith, praying in our homes and reunions.

Well, this was a very bad point for Mgr. Carmona. The question is that Mgr. Bravo, naturally, says that if he takes the seminary, he will be in all in accord with Mgr. Carmona. Y must say you that Mgr. Bravo will do all Mgra. Carmona conunsels him.

Now, other question.- Mgr. Carmona told me he has with him a young man that is coming from an "aparitionist" center famous here in México and other countries. The boy is 18 old, and three years ago he left this center, where he stand three years time ago, and Mgr. said me that he is a good vocation. The question is that the aparitionist center of PURUARAN, a little village near of México city, is manage by false traditionalist, enemies of us, and there are several man and woman that they say have revelations from the Saint Virgin, defending Pe%- false popes, Paulo VI at first, and there have been denounces of extortion to young people, ridicoulus and diabolic (I think it could be) farses, dolls, yes, dolls that speak speak, etc., and inmortality in the groups that is there, managed by priests. The boy that is with Mgr. Carmona said him that he was tired of this questions and had abandoned the center because he didn't believed at final in those things. He studied three years

with the priests are there, (jesuist with permission of the superiors! and Mgr. Carmona says he has a good initial preparation in theology.

Well, I have begged again to Mgr. Carmona to send away this boy, eventhless if his vocation is good and sincere, because we, friends of Guadalujaja and all us, think that it is no good to us to receive persons that are coming from falses groups of revelations, as this. We can not asure that this people is no a infiltrator, and moreover, we have enough with those question of Palmar and the ordinations Mgre. Thuc q.e.p.d. did before of those we know. We must clean the house. I can not understand how Mgr. took with him this boy. If he stay in his decision we can appear as a garbage of the world. It would be in detriment of the seriously of our cause to ordein some day one that is coming from our worst enemies!

I think this, and friends of Guadalajara, because we are not in ordinary circumstances. All the world has the eyes over all us, and particullary in the actions of our bishops.

Moreover, when Mgr. Carmona and Bravo were here in Tampico to visit me, they talked about them are affraid to not be living such enough time to can ordein priests boys that need nine, or tewlve years of study as the tridentine method exiges. Well, I would be in accord with them, -if you too- looking at the circumstances, in abreviate the years with a good method for the good proved ones and with more age, that, if you think it would be good. But never to give orders to total imprepared ones and priesthood to boys. Mgr. Carmona Told me days ago, that as Mgr. Bravo and him can not more with multitudes of people, He was thinking in give the first orders to four of the boys. I begged him to do not this; I hope he attendes me.

Now, this is the question. Help of friends of Guadalajara is very improbably, by this and other questions I will told you.

Mgr. Carmona and Bravo have those four men in the parrochia, living with Mgr. Carmona, and they both are giving an inconstant preparation to the seminarians. The question is: If you think in support the Seminary there, with Mgr. Carmona and Bravo, after knowing things I have told you?

My opinion is: as we know now that Mgr. Carmona Has did things that are not in order, you can tell him that you only will support the seminary if he promises to do things wright, if He sends you the plain of studies, the curriculum of everyone of the seminarian and if Mgr. attends what I beg him about the question, and gives you and me a monthly relation.

All us know that the good of the Saint Church needs urgently the catholic priests. And we all now that if Mgr. Carmona has did that ordination and received the boy a I told you, it is becouse He is moved by the necesity and by the wish that the seed we have miracolously obtained don't dae. I think that with your interven-tion Mgr. will acced to have precautions. I know Mgr. have dificul-ties to read you letters in english; if you want you can send me its and I would traduce for him. If you want, I say.

At all, we have not any hope without Mgr. Carmona and Bravo. We must see to conserve them with us and to have the seminary. Mgrs. are decided to continue with those boys they have, and there are many good candidates for a seminary. I know they don't looks for the groups M. Carmona has, because they don't see it serious.

Now, I go to tell you something more terrible than all, that can move all us to work for a seminary.-

3.- Question of Lefebvre and friends of Guadalajara.

This is very grave what I must tell you. As you know, the groups of Guadalajara support at first father Sáenz y Arriaga, the only --- "sedevacantist" that never failed in incoherences. They support also the Union Trento, with our books, bulletins, aid to me (aid only; they have never supported me enough to live in peace), voyages, etc., et., By this, they have had an intervention as managers, naturally, because if they doesn't give us the money for a document in a newspaper, (for example), we have to keep silence. I have never acceded to do any thing that in my conscience is wrong, as when they frobide me to write in the bulletin against the traitor Lefebvre. They know I am disposed to have not to eat, that to do something I see wrong. But there are many that obey them when an order is given from the high comand!

Well, the question is that when reciently in november someones of Guadalajara were sent to Rome to distribute my book in the Synod, they return with news they said me one of them was going to come to tell me personally. I waited, and the friend came in january at finally. He only prepared me, about the simpatization of the Guadalajara group, (the comand) again with Lefebvre, but it was last month when one of the "authorities" of the university and of the group of Union in that city finish to tell me what is succiding.

He tolde me that when friends went to Rome, they visited the house for the priest of Lefebvre that is there. As one of the man that went has been in Lefebvre's seminary, he new several priest living in Rome, and during the visit the lefebvrst told that all they there, the priest in Roma in that house, ~~are~~ are sure of the vacancy of the Roman Sede. And that as them, there ~~are~~ are many other priests and seminarians of Lefebvre convinced of this, but that Lefebvre has convinced them to don't abandon him, because his own position -of Lefebvre- is only now a "trick". a way to don't be excomunicated, because -he says to his priests- if now he declares the Sede Vacantis thousand of catholics would be lossed, because they can not understand the situation of the Sain Church, the antipopes, etc., etc.,! And Lefebvres beggs to his people to wait. (I think to his people of confidence). ¿But wait for what? I asked the friend... "To wait only. That the result should be good for the Curch".

The friend also tolde me that now the comand of the group of Guadalajara has this conviction: That salvation of Church is coming some day trough Lefebvre! Not by our bishops. They in Guadalajara are going to support the Union, because they are "sedevacantist" and are compromised with this position that we have mantained, and the writers about this we have been (with books) Father Sáenz and I. Now, for me, it is an evidence that if they have their hope with Lefebvre, they will not spend money and forces with a seminary with our bishops. The friend said me more: That Mgr. Carmona doesn't is at the altitude of the shoes of Lefebvre! I answer that certainly, Mgr. Carmona has not the "good presence", the general culture, and other things and aids that Lefebvre has, but he never has going ahead and back, confounding all the world that had confidence in him. The friend insisted in that Lefebvre tooks his position of recognizing the antipopes to keep the people with him, while "the moment is arrave".

Well, my position is the same, about Lefebvre. I don't have confidence in him. Because he has not only has fall in contradictions in questions of the must importance, when all we wait from him the last word about the antipopes, when he has being talking about Paulo VI

saying that he has done in the Saint Church a destruction as any heretic could dream!.. And Lefebvres had remember the doctrine of the doctors about the vacant Chair by the heresie of someone elected pope (false pope) and all that he proclaimed about the H. Mass, the Sacramentes, the council!....

He before, when decides to change his position, not only went backward, not only went to an indiferent position, but he attache all us, father Sáenz ;here in México when he came! Lefebvre has speak bad of the "sedevacantists", has defended the new mass, and has did a difamous work about the university of Guadalajara! that helped him once a time.

He also has defended his new position against his anterior, affirming that it is impossible that popes Paulos and cardinals and bishops of the church (the new church we say) to be heretics, because ¿where should be the visibility of the Saint Church?.... I answer him in the "Trento" bulletin every one of his words when he became to be an enemie of the Church, of the truth, and of the really catholic that work to conserve the Faith. Visibility of the Church is not one of her Notes. Church was not visibly to all the world when she begun, when there were only the apostles and a few christians. To the end of the times Our Lord has anounced: "¿When the Son of the Man will return to the world, will existe the faith over the earth?"... The great apostasy is a profetical fact. Eventhless if it is not the end of the world, there is the profecy (really profecy accepted by the Saint - Church) of La Salette and Fatima.

Well, so is the situation now. Friends of Guadalajara are going to continue giving us their help, but now I know it wood be by "drops"; they will help us in what is of their own convenience in their private position about Lefebvre, in that things we don't disturb lefebvrists hopes.

This friends are not going to tell to all the Union about their convictions with Lefebvre; they know well that in the Union -- the people is firmly convenced of the questions of the antipopes. I don't say they will not convenced some ones, but we have the majority.

By other hand, friends of Guadalajara have a thousand of youth peoples in the traditional group in that city, and many of - ex-pupils in many cities of this country, that have obtien the direction of many groups, of the Union or not, of catholics. Trough these people I am affraid that they can gain more people "in secret", but gain, to Lefebvres, and also scatter their false ideas intww them. I have lost the sleep totally thinking about this problems. And there is more: Now I understand the question, when the first friend that told me he has visited the lefebvrists in Rome, and doing a comentary to out situation in Union, when I said him that we must renovate the group of directors of the Union, he answer me, ¿Or to do OTHER ORGANIZATION?.... Why other? I answer. Union has an own tradition, of the father Sáenz battle at first, +Yes- he said, "of father Sáenz".. And he didn't mention my work, Mgr. Thuc, our bishops, and all I know now that for those friends is not enough!... Now, the question is that in the moment they want, the Guadalajara group with its conec-tions not only in this country, but in many points of the world, can found a new organization, if not against the Union, in other "soft line" doing the favor to Lefebvre!

I am not going to abandon the Union. I will defend our position with all those that are convinced of the truth, and if some day those "friends" do me the sugestion to do or write something I am not in accord, if it is necessary, then I will renounce, but I -- think that they now only are going to say us softly "no" to our begs of aid for our work. This will be enough to quiet us in silence!

The worst of all is that the Guadalajara group has send several youngs to the Lefebvres seminaries when there was not other hope, and when all seemed that a good conclusion was in the end. Some of those students came back to home when Lefebvre took his new position, but some others didn't want, and stay with him and now are priests! I also knew recently that one of this priests borned in Guadalajara, but that stood in Europe where he studies with Lefebvre, visited his family here in Guadalajara, and friends were so ~~im~~ well impressed by his "spirituality" and because he told them also that he is a "sedevacantist", that they invited him to officiate the Holy Mass, for them all the time he stand with them, for all the group, only omitting the prayer for the Pope in the Mass, what he acceded. He gived spiritual exercises to the people and his exit was complete.

Now I am afraid of an invasion in a future of priests of Lefebvre, a cause that I am telling you, that the biggest groups in this country are in the hands of Guadalajara. I repeat, I will not abandon the Union; we are enough people who are not in hope about Lefebvre. We don't understand what for is he waiting! And he has stop the really resistance of Catholics with his trucks.

Here we see the necessity to have our seminary, but the duty to see it was not to be "worst the medicine that the illness" as we say here.

I have other question to tell you, I had not enough datos for give you, but I now have all the material. It is about the question of the group of Fátima of Spoken, that Mgr. Carmona has accepted. And he has two nuns with him, that are looking for the fundation of a convent. Well, the story of this group is not so terrible as I thought. Days ago a nun came to speak with me and brought me the documents I will send you in other, because now I am very tired.

I wish you ~~en~~ all blesses in the Easter, and with our prayers we be you to pray for us. Greetings from my mother too.

The question of secret adhesion of Guadalajara group, please, it is confidential only for you. I have told you this because you must know all, because you are in connection with questions of our Union and the bishops.

Yours in Jesus Christ,

Gloria Riestra

P.D. Group of Fátima never were schismatics. It was a group of truly Catholics that wished to conserve the Faith and the Sacraments. The question was that they didn't knew a Catholic bishop to ordain or consecrate for them a Catholic ones, and in this emergency they begged consecration from one "Old Catholic", but not to be old Catholic it was to preserve the Roman Catholic faith they saw had no salvation. But when they knew bishops Altmann and Musey, they begged them to take them under his authority, receiving them in the Catholic Church whose doctrines they had preserved in integrity. But I will send you all this story and you please give us your opinion. It was a fact similar I think, a little, as Mgr. Thuc thought the salvation of the Church can not come (with our human vision) if it was not preserved the truly sacred Orders. But well, please give us your opinion when I send you the documents Sister María Mercedes gived me.