

Tampico, 23 de noviembre de 1983

Dear Dr. Heller:

I was very happy for your letter I have received days ago of 9, 10, 83. Thank you very much! I have been feeling myself very alone at last time. I beg you to excuse I don't answer you before, but I have had new complications in my life. My mother had a Heart attack, and was in the hospital a time, and now he is so much better, thanks to God, but she is in rest, and needing oxygen for hours daily. If all this were few pains, I have new complications of my eyes and can not write or read only the most indispensable. Then, when I receive your letter I sent copy to Anacleto, and wrote him asking him to write to the bishops about the points of your letter, and he has told me he did this, when he wrote me days ago.

Well, about the point of the consecrations that Mons. Thuc did, when Monsenor was here in Mexico with Mons. Vezelis, we have thought to ask Mons. Thuc about all this, but Mons. Carmona went with all the bishops to an aparted place, to have peace and stay away the press, in Acapulco. One of our members of the Union had to go to be in the church of Mons. Carmona in these days, but he could not see the bishops. Mons. Vezelis and Mons. Thuc went to Mexico, and Anacleto thought that he could have a conversation with them about the most important questions, but he could only with difficulties see them a few minutes in the airport, when they were going to Guadalajara where they stay a few days, but Anacleto could not go there. The people bishops visit in that city were seminarist families and groups of our own organization, that don't know anything about the problems of the consecrations. We of the directive have thought that we -- shall have a good solution for this question, and that it is better to present the problem with the solution. So, we have kept silence instead of meanwhile.

I had hope that as he has promised me, Mons. Vezelis should come to Tampico, as I can not travel, with Mons. - Thuc, (great honor I could not have!) but at last neither Anacleto and I, could have a telephone conversation with them, because they went to another cities to visit some places that have interest for them, and we could not obtain any communication.

November 25.- I am writing this slowly a cause of my eyes. Today I have received two cheques for 371 dollars each one that sends to me Dr. Hiller. But there is nothing any indication ~~to-the-~~ about the destinataries. I beg you please to ask Dr. Hiller about this, to send the money each one. I will write Dr. Hiller soon, and thank you very much!....

About the question of Mons. Thuc. <sup>Last</sup> night Anacleto called me by phone. He is in a great anguish a cause of the question of the consecrations of schismatics by Mons. Well, all we are in this same situation. I think about this,:

1.- At first, we must work, yes, in the theme of the kind of jurisdiction, and in a new and definitive declaration of bishops, and we must work for union with Mons. De Laurier that is very important. But:

2.- Bishops and us are in a questionable situation until the question of consecrations, -the last principally-

is not clear. So, we must work to explain this situation before anything more. We are obligated to leave this question clear for the truly Church, and to continue the work in defense of the Church without debts that are our own duty get away.

Today I have received a pamphlet of father Cornelius Bayman, that came to our country to attack us with the arguments of father Barbará, and he is sending his copies to the priests in all México. If I can, I will send you translation of this.

2.- I think that before any other thing, I repeat, we must demonstrate to enter world that we are not, our bishops, a piece more in a schismatic movement. Mexican bishops had invited Mons. Thuc and Mons. Vezelis for a reunion in December to study this question. We don't know if Mons. Thuc, Vezelis and Musey will come. But the question is:

It is truth that Mons. Thuc, after his document and consecration Mexican bishops, consecrated Jean Laberie, of the Latin Church of Toulouse.

When he did this, ¿was he in mental health, or was he in a bad moment a cause of his age?...

The problems are: If he was not in mental health, respect to Laberie consecration, we must publicate the documents all where are all references about consecrations of our bishops, to demonstrate that those ordinations are VALID. The validity is the most important question now. That Mons. Thuc was in health about our consecrations.

Now, if Mons. Thuc was in mental health, in consecration of Laberie, and knowing that he was a schismatic, bishops and us must beg him for a confession of this bad action. I doubt that Mons. can do anything consciently wrong. But the important question for us, I repeat is our responsibility with God and with the Church.

We can not go on with documents or questions of jurisdiction or anything, and Anacleto thinks the same, if we don't put clear this which is our own problem; you and me are in this, and I can not be in peace if our souls, bishops and so many people that have put in us their confidence, are in a dangerous situation. We have given all in our lives for the cause of the Church, and we must keep ourselves in the correct line.

The Canons of the truly Church are today the necessary defense; we do references of this Canons against the postconciliar schismatics; we must do all for not be under really sanctions for really schismatics.

Anacleto and I have thought that it will be very good if you could travel to New York and have a conversation with Mons. Thuc. We the Mexicans have put all our confidence in you and this is permanent. We thought that the bishops you recommended to consecrate our priests were -or was- a man without problems that could damage our work; we have our confidence in you for the solution of this problem, now, that Mons. Thuc lives. We must talk for the postconciliar and for the Catholics, demonstrating that the consecrations of Mexicans were did in mental health of Mons. Thuc, and if he for his old age, few months later did that consecrations of schismatic, this don't damage our bishops. ;Please, for the love of Our Lord, help the Saint Church in this!

We have not clear another questions as the ordinations of Arbinet, Garcia, Miguet, and Meunier, that Barbará and another say that Mons. Thuc did before the document and consecrations of our bishops. About this we think all must be clear, I think, if Mons. Thuc don't remember some things as he says to Mons. Vezelis, I think, it is necessary to go personally or to write to this priests, to know all the truth, and do the concernient. All but never to continue us as a shame of schismatics! If I were one of the bishops of Mons. Thuc, I should not continue doing anything of the ministries of a bishop, waiting to know where exactly I am in the truly Church. ;I don't tell this to our bishops, but I know now that they are in doubts and saddnes... It is natural!....

We should like to know what Mons. De Lauriers thinks about the question of the consecration of the schismatic for Mons. Thuc. Here we need his theology!... Certainly our bishops wish the union and we also, but I think that the poor document of the bishops -- don't contains things as the tesis of Mons. Gerard de Lauriers, that go against the truth, as when he, Mons., consideres Wojtyla as a "catecumen" in the throne of Saint Peter, and one posible conversion could do of him a truly Pope!...

This an other considerations with inacceptable tesis are the stone in the way for a union. Mons. Gerard De Lauriers doesn't want to present other work about Sede Vacante as we have begg to him; he could present a new work that he only will say it was his finally conclusion after must profound studies and we will be in accord with him, and union will be done. But in the present witu tien in which Mons. De Laueriers has no change in his errors that not we alone, but numerous cathelics in the world have known, I doubt about a union with the other bishops. They don't know how to write well, yes, but they have not fall in errors. This errors of Mons. Gerard de Lauriers are about the must important question: The concernient to the antipopes, the Vacantis Sedis.

My friend very dear, in this moments I think we are in these of the Saint Passion, "Father, why has You abandoned me? but we must be firmily union with Jesus in the Cross; all that you and us have did has being dad with "bene voluntate". We are not looking for our glory but for the glory of God: He will help us to go on no obstant this great difficulties.

About Mons. Thuc, I think he is a good man of God. We don't must abandone him, but I think if it would not be better for the Cause of the Church, if you see to translate him to ether place, while the questions are clear, because the seminary must be the must posible away of the attacks of the enemies. I speaky to you sincerely as you know. I say to you everything I think, and I am sure you understand me.

I finish now waiting for your comunication, so necessary for me, for all. I beg God you to decide to go to have the conversation with Mons. Thuc. I think this sacrifice of your time and money will be good for the Church, that is all our intention.

Your little child Clara will be an artist, I think. Thanks very much for her picture! and kisses for her and for little Bernard. My mother and I wish to you and your famly merry Christ mass and benditions. Pray for us please, and we pray for you. I am expecting news about the destinataries of money, and good wishes for Dr. Heller, Herr Muser and all friends. Excuse my mistakes.

Your sister and friend in Our Lord,

*Clara*