

Tampico, March 10-83

Dear Dr. Heller:

Thank you very much for your letters of day 3. and the autograph. Now I write by hand because I am ill days ago, and in bed by medical prescription. Don't take care; this is frequently.

Now, to the paints:

12 About father Snyder. The friends I mention are not Germans, they are Mexican students that return from courses. The friend that told me about the leg of Dr. Gestner wants to keep his name. He don't want difficulties. I think it is enough for him to give us this note. He called me by Telephone to tell me that, and thinking that I must need another "data", he sent me the following notes about father Snyder. - He obtained this from the friend that did the leg to mgr. Carrasco.

It is strange for me that mgr. Carnova has no comment this with me. I will call him to know more.

Well, Fr. Snyder is a North American priest. I have not the enter name but I am going to know more soon. He celebrates the traditional mass in Colonia. I don't know where, exactly. Probably he is adict to Sr. Gerstner.

The person who asked to mgr. Carnova pr consecrate him, a little time ago has written to friends about F. Snyder, after a conversation with him, about what is the position of this priest in important points.

Here are:

1^o He don't know much about the "Einsicht" movement, but somebody has speak to him bad things about Sr. Faith that he knows, - he says - is behind all, about Einsicht.

2^o About mgr. Thuc he affirms he knows him personally, and also that

mgr. is an old man that suffers premature senile. That mgr. is recent full for the crime with his brothers.

also he told he knows that mgr. Thun told that he has decide to consecrate bishops, one for ~~each~~ point of the world, and that Fr. Snyder thinks - that this will become to divide in schismas to the catholics.

3- That the "excommunication" to bishops who consecrate and the ordeneid, is yet valid in Rome (i.e.), and that this put a "stop" to mgr. Tefelure who don't ~~does~~ ^{make} a bishop for this mistake.

well, this is all for now about F. Snyder. With this thoughts I don't understand how he can be presented as a candidate.!

I will take more information for you, and don't ~~take care~~ ^{worry} about all notices I can have, not only from germans but

about all others that can ask for ordinations.

This is the reason for what I insist in the "Conditions for consecrations". When I wrote that, there were only mgrs. Carrons and Zamora. (and T de Lauriers?) But the intention is to preserve the doors closed to unable persons. Yes, all the bishops including mgr. Thus, must be in unity. But now I have to tell you that there are difficulties with mgr. Musey. He didn't do the oath about don't consecrate anyone without being in accord with the other bishops. Now, mgr. Carrons told me days ago that he wrote mgr. Musey about the inconvenience to ordain Fr. de Hapel and Jose Maria and mgr. Musey didn't answer him.

Amadeo called Musey days ago to beg him to stop

This, and Mgr. Mussey become angry, very angry. He didn't want to give Anacleto his benediction.

We are not against the reception of converted schismatics in the church. We are against the uncanonical actions of some of this man, and their scandals that go against so serious actions as our bishops are called to do.

For example, José María was consecrated bishop by schismatics but also, he, ^{who consecrated him} ordained "one little boy and one little girl!" He, ^{José María} sure, we know he is looking for the consecration as bishop by Mgr. Mussey. If M. Mussey does this, our enemies shall have real reasons to put people in desconfiance, and I fear this is not all. What we have to support is not the judgment of people, as the judgment of the real Church now and for the history! (and ^{Gato's judgment}) The very sad thing is that Mgr. Mussey told to Anacleto that he has permission of Mgr. Thus. To do

The ordinations. In the life of De Hoyal are also scandals, not about him, but about the woman who was his wife. And these are very known in the U.S.A. José María in another countries. This strange man is "missionary" too.

Well, I think that in the community of bishops, if one of them is not able, he can be separate. If we don't think in this, we should have to carry a lot of problems. The first, that the faithful, and the priests, possible candidates, may lose all confidence in the "Community" and about all us, that they will think we are in accord with this things.

Aracelis insists in obtain a written testimony of myr. Thus about the ordinations he, as we now, probably did. He thinks, Aracelis, as we,

in the inconveniences of the man
ordained, had for the Church and
for mgr Thur's prestige, in which
goes very much to the acceptance
of the bishops he created.

I am going to write again
to all the bishops about your
suggestions. I called yesterday
to mgr. Carron by phone to
comment your letter of days ago,
- your first, - and he was accord
with all the points. I know by
Anacleto that bishops says that
we, lay men, are going on in
things that are of their exclusive
competence. Well, I think that
in history of the Church, the
man of the Church had never
done anything without the
push of the lay men, included
women as Jean of Arc and
Catalina!

So, I called first to mgr.
Carron.

At least the bishops speaking
of the problems, - and solutions -
I see them without taking the
initiative, but we are going to insist

I will send you copy of my letter to them, S. M.

Returning to the points of ordinations by mgr. Thuc, I only think that in this and in the another done, or for do by my misery, if we go to support with the Bulla "Cum Apostolatus" in the eyes of the ready Church. -

I hope this.

I think mgr. Thuc. must do too much for promise to don't ordina or consecrate alters now that this is now a community responsibility! He must never be alone. I think if it is not best for him to stay with mgr. Vegalis? Or his health don't support the claim? I will speak about this with confidential friends.

I think we must continue writing us, the layman, in our magazines, of the problem in the near times that the bishops write.

He brought me the gift for Bishop and Mrs. I am very happy with mine.

Want to put our publications as the official voices of the bishops, I think, and Anacleto thinks the same, that being the question with the bishops so hard as you see, it is better for us and the cause to publish all their writings, but with our independence. Think that as their propriety, the bishops can ask ~~us~~ to publish their mistaken acts. Think in the relation for example, of the ordinations of Mgr. Misesy if he does this! ~~We~~ should have to accept all of them!

Being independent we can say yes or no, and say what is good or not to give to know to people. I imagine the photos of Bishop Jose Maria in our pages!

Well, I am not angry but very sad. I hope God Our Lord will help us all.

I thank you very much for the chats touched to miraculous corporal! My mother also send greetings for you, family and friends. I will write you again soon. Pray for us. We pray for you.
-THANKS-DANK! Your friend and sister

M. M.

P.D. Defending bishops of lefebvrist attack from the review "The Roman

Catholic, Anacleto wrotos a large article with a revolution of themes inclu
the question of the "Cristeros".

Well, he sent this to all people he knows in the USA and another coun-
tries. When he sent me this, I reed there that he mention as our enemies se
veral organizations and persons. Well, it is truth that the movements of
"CURSILLOS" "Curses for Cristianity", the OPUS DEI", and the judish mans o
Monterres city here in Mexico, specially this last, are our enemies and th
organizations are progresists and comunists movimientos. But as I said to
Anacleto, THEY HAVE NOT TOUCH OUR BISHOPS. In this question they have not
speak. Included Salvador Abascal with whom I had a great polemic time ago,
he has a magazine "tradicionalis of false wright" and he has not say nothi
yet against the bishops. I say Anacleto, ¿why to tray new enemies if they
had not touch our bishops? I think it has do an imprudence. Anacleto recog
nice this, but I hope it would not be to late. This organizations and pers
are the left hand of the false church, and I fear about the great influenc
over people they can use against the bishops and our movement. So, I beg
you to don't publish this article if you see the things as I do. I think w
have enough.

I have to write to you soon about another points here. I am sending
this while I wrote the other letter about Abbe Nugget and other things.

I think I will invite Mons. Carmona to come to visit me to speak pers
naly about the points you mention; I think Mons. Carmona must present this
to the bishops. We have to talk with amplitud about all.

Thank also for the relation about the miracle!

About the manifest of the Brazilian priests, they don't give their names. That takes off importance to it.

About the traditional priest in Rome, father Sáenz a.e.p.d. known more much about this. The archbishop Arrigo Pintonello has written congratulations for "Trento". He was friend of father Sáenz. I am going to write to him asking for directions of traditionalist priests in Rome, saying that we want to send to them "Trento". By this way I think we can do a first contact.

Vale!

By hand

P.D. Today I received the articles about invalidity of Sacerdos Ord.

I will read it and send it to the bishops. We always must attend the opinions of fathers. We I am sure will be at final in unity about all. Thanks!