

Tampico Tamps., 17 de febrero de 1983

Dr. Eberhard Heller
Munchen
Germany

Dear Dr. Heller:

In last days I sent you two letters. I want now to put this about the problem we had with the priest that egressed from Father's Bolduc community wanted to come to Mexico to live with Mons. Carmona. Mons. Vezelis (with whom the priest Mulliere had talked by telephone was going to pay the travel.) I first called to Mons. Vezelis asking if he known that priest; he said he don't, but that Mons. Carmona will see if he was able to stay here in México.

I called yesterday night with Mons. Carmona, and I adverticed him about the danger of receiving one that comes from the Pio X and directly from the bad company of father Bolduc. I beg him to don't give him recepcion in his church; he accepted to sent him to a hotel, but he told me about his preoccupation if the priest had no mone to ~~Went~~ again to the United States. I promise Mons. Carmona to collect money for this.

You know charity of Mons. Carmona and all them. I said Mons. that he was not going to do anything wrong with this action. The bishops can not receive in their churches all priest that wants to come to live with them! And those pirsts that recognize the bishops, can do a very good apostolate living with groups of catholics that need the Ing. Anacleto was very "nervous" and he called Mons. Vezelis, telling him the danger of the action about father Mulliere. Mons. Vezelis was accord to call father Mulliere and tell him that Mons. Carmona had not space to receive him. I added to Mons. Carmona it will be good to say also that there is a law for the bishops to don't have living with -- them any priest even this priest is a "converted" to our tesis.

Well, I think this is finish. But see how good results our desconfiance. Ing. Anacleto called to Mons. Musey to inquire more about father Mulliere. This is what he told:

Mulliere was accord with Lefebvre's changes when he become favorable to Juan Paulo II. As in the church he attended the catholics were divided, then he make a "chorus" with lefebvrists, that when the catholics in disagree appeared, beguining to sing: "Here come the devils, here come the devils!"... After this, a few months ago, he became "converted". He is also, we know now, an avaricious man that as I understand, works with jewels. Well, thanks God, we send far away this man. With this, Bolduc and his emisarys shall know that it is not easy to send us an invasion.

Question 2.-

I am sending you the article I wrote with the results of the studies of our priests -an me- about the valid consecrations of Mons. Lefebvre. And a copy of de "Introduction of the Question 38 of Saint Thomas about de Ministers of Sacrament of Order." But you can

see all this better in the Canon Law. It is very important that our enemies don't have a point of mistake against us in our thesis because this goes against the Cause.

It should be very good to us to have the documentation to prove that Liénart was really a mason, to prove that the Church has been suffering this invasion. But about me, I don't have nothing about Liénart. But even if he was a mason, the doctrine is clear about the powers he received; they are not depending of the situation of the man, even he is an excommunicate one. The Orders of priesthood and episcopacy are "ex opere operato". It is what for, if a child is ordeined, he is really ordeined, if the conditions about intention of ordinator are correct. The another points about if Liénart wanted to do what the Church ordeined, we don't know. But the powers, he had.

In the last number of the buletin of Mons. Vezelis comes in a seccion of questions a mistake about this. I am writing to hi today because this gives oportunity, I say, to our enemies to do u really attacks. In this answer Fray ~~ZZZZ~~ Juniper says. He writes that a Freemason can not become a valid priest or bishop, because he has no intention to receive the sacraments.

Well, this is wrong, because as he can see studing the question, that I as I have written and many others, it don't depen of the intention of whom whose receive.

It is why there subsists the Ortodoxes Churches, oriental, where there are valid episcopace and priesthodd, even they are cismatics.

I hope the papers I send you may be an invitation to study a little more this point.

3.- A have a notice for you: a friend mine that has been in Germany time ago and proclaims to be a traditionalist that recognices our bishops, was invited to a mass celebrated by a group in one village here in Mexico. The people invited alsaw another student that also came from Germany and that is friend of my friend, and says he is traditionalist. Well, my friend has called me days ago to tell me that his friend, in a supper that a family did for Mons. Carmona, there were presents my friend and the other one, because they are friends of the catholic family. Then my friend had a surprise when the other in presence of all bddge Mons. Carmona in a name of Dr. Gestetner he to consecrate bishops to a priest named SNYDER, that I think you must know there in Germany.

Mons. Carmona answer that he could not consecrate any -- priest, because the bishops that Mons. Thuc has consecrated, have make an oach about not ordein or consecrate without authorization or Mons. Thuc and common accords.

I think this of the oach they have to do is very good, and it is one of the points of the "Conditions" I made for the bishops. Mons. Carmona thought that it was convenient to do the question of the oach effectivly, and so this oach I think is very good to send far away dangers.

4.- In the United States the battle goes on against Mons. Thuc and the bishops and all us. In "The Roman Catholic", buletin appeared an article -large article- that is signed by a "Pellegrin Ing. Anacleto has answer them, and he is going to send us -you and me- his work about this.

I see that father Jones is now writing very well. His bulletin I have received today in your defense. It is very good.

I think you receive "The Roman Catholic". If not, Ing. Anacleto can send it to you. I have not it. We must have all these attacks in collection with the answers.

Well, I leave you rest, now. I am a little better and I hope to go on with all the work I have not did.

I am sending you my article about yours "Comentary" to yours "Some Considerations..."

Yours in Christ and His Divine Mother,

Alfonso Prieta

Well, this is wrong, because as he can see studying the question, that I as I have written and many others, it don't depend of the intention of whom whose receive.

It is why there subsists the Orthodox Churches, oriental, where there are valid episcopate and priesthood, even they are Catholics.

I hope the papers I send you may be an invitation to study a little more this point.

3. - I have a notice for you: a friend mine that has been in Germany time ago and proclaims to be a traditionalist that receives our bishops, was invited to a mass celebrated by a group in one village here in Mexico. The people invited also another student that also came from Germany and that is friend of my friend, and says he is traditionalist. Well, my friend has called me days ago to tell me that his friend, in a supper that a family did for Mons. Carmona, there were presents my friend and the other one, because they are friends of the catholic family. Then my friend had a surprise when the other in presence of all begged Mons. Carmona the name of Dr. Gestner he to consecrate bishops to a priest named SNYDER, that I think you must know there in Germany.

Mons. Carmona answer that he could not consecrate any priest, because the bishops that Mons. Tunc has consecrated, have made an oath about not ordain or consecrate without authorization of Mons. Tunc and common accords.

I think this of the oath they have to do is very good, and it is one of the points of the "Conditions" I made for the bishops. Mons. Carmona thought that it was convenient to do the question of the oath effectively, and so this oath I think is very good to send far away dangers.

4. - In the United States the battle goes on against Mons. Tunc and the bishops and all us. In "The Roman Catholic", bulletin appeared an article - large article - that is signed by a "Pelagian". Ing. Anacleto has answer them, and he is going to send us - you and me - his work about this.