

+

Tampico, february 4 1983

Dr. Eberhard Heller
Munich

Dear Dr. Heller:

I have received yours of January one. I also received your letter of December 17. Thanks for all. I send you and Dr. Hiller post cards for Christmas and I wrote there that I had received the check Dr. Hiller sent me. Thanks again for this.

Well, I have several points to comment. First I was ~~leaving~~ ^{leaving} ~~going~~ ^{going} the very occupied days of December to write to the bishops about the point of the "ministeris and offices" of themselves. Certainly, they are not giving importance to the work of investigation and information to the people about these and other questions. I was in disposition to write all them begging for this when in January happened several things that made me still *wait*

First, my mother became worst in her heart sick *me* and I had a great tension that made me become also so bad that in all month I could not do more than little works. My mother is better now, thanks to God, and I am having some more better days to work.

But this was not all. In January 12 Ing. Anacleto sent me some letters he had received; the copies he has sent to you, he told me, and to the bishops. In these letters and documents we found these points to be explained:

1.- Monsieur Hervé de Boismenu writing us in Spanish (he wrote only to Anacleto; we don't know if they sent you the documents, I say, Mons. to you) affirms the following things about Excellence Mons. Thuc:

a).- Mons. Thuc has given his "Shake" or "aval" to a Community that is "in communion with Juan Paulo II", the named "Fraternité N. D. de Bethléem", and he during his visit that is published in the bulletin "Lettre de la Fraternité", has re-ordained to Jean Claude Meunier, (in religion Pere Claude de la Colombiere). This young man, says Mons. Boismenu, known in all France by his "strange conduct". He, says M. B., had not studied in any seminary and was before ordained by Mons. Enos of the "Eglise de Toulouse, receiving the minor orders by telephone! at first...

b) This has succeeded just in September of 1982, day 24. I read in the Numero Special of October 82 of the Community of Bethlem, all about the visit of Mons. Thuc, but nothing about the ordination of Pere de la Colombiere. Well, that what is important for us is if all this is truth! Will we never finish with these terrible problems that menace to destroy all our work, and not only this, but to leave us in a situation of impossibility to do anything more, after being in discredit and with the confidence of Catholics fall down, if these things are truth?

c) Mons. Thuc has affirmed that he had not communications with the people of Palmar once he knew that there was a false position. Mons. Boismenu tells that Mons. Thuc sent a man named -- Placide Marc Arbinet to Clemente, of Palmar, a letter of recommendation with the following theme:

"As Mons. Thuc, consecrator of Clemente, excommunicated by Paulo VI by this, relevelted of the excommunication, had not permission to consecrate bishops -or priests-, all that Mons. explanate in his letter, he wrote this motives to Clemente, sending to him this Arbinet, with this textual words:

This in french as Mons. Boismonu writes it: "Je vous recomand ~~W~~d. Clemente, le Frere religieux Placide... très digne d'etre ordonné a la prétrise au service de la Madone". Signed P.M. Ngô Dinh Thu

Mons. B, adds that this appear in the buletin of Palmar, but he don't mention the number of this buletin. He also says that Arbinet "is a dangerous man, "aime a se faire passer pour traditionalist". M. Boismenu affirms that "the true catholics can not have confidence in Mons. Thuc, that has been relacionated with cismatic sects as Palmar, Toulouse, Moissac, conciliar, no conciliar, etc.,

Well, I think that we must explain all this before doing any thing for the people of more importance about our bishops. We must not have obstacles -so great obstacles- in our way, more if these obstacles put dubts (and they do so) in the conciences of all those that are knowing and shall know about our movement. About my personal reaction, I am sick, worst, ~~about~~ a cause for this things. I think we must not give one step more without doing before, I repet, an explanate.

Anacleto was very desconsolated the day he called me to announce me he has sent me the papers. He recomend me to write to Mons. Vezelis, to beg him to ask Mons. Thuc about this things, but I think that I am not the person indicated for the case; I think - you must write to Mons. Thuc, or visit him, if it were possible, because all this is too strange and dangerous for the cause of the Saint Church. I beg God that all this not to be truth.

Yesterday sunday, mexican bishops had a reunion in Mexico city; they did'nt announced this to me. I have not call them by phone because a good friend of our group in Mexico who is relacionated about this questions, wrote me that a months ago the telephones of all us named "the right groups" are "supervise" by the government, including the phones of all us including me. It signifies that all our conversations by phone are being engraving. I think this is the cause why our bishops have not called to me. Anacleto and I received days ago the visit of investigators of the leaders of the "Right groups". Anacleto received the man and gaved him some answers, because he was take by surprise in his office. But when they came with me, I was advice by Anacleto and I didn't gave nothing "enterprise"; it was not obligatory, I said, and I was, and it was truth, in very bad health. We have another notice: our letters are being examine in an iluminated machine. I say, the letterse we send; I don't know if they do the same with does we receive. I think it is to see if we are sending dollars, in money, out of the country.

I don't deny that the things about Mons. Thuc have cause me a great deception. The "Boismenus", Barbarás, and all them, here in México with letters in spanish, and in the United States-as wrote me father Elmer-about the sons of Lefebvre, are doing all they can to destroy our work as I say you, and to create a bad vision and desconfiance in the people; ¿what shall we do if this last things about Mons. Thuc are truth?... ¿How can we do in this case to present to; the enter Saint Church the legitimacy of our bishops?... ¿Don't you think we must no promote any public document of our bishops, until this questions be explanate?..

are

It was difficult to explain those first difficulties of the consecrations of Palmar, recognizing after this to Paulo VI Mons. Thuc accepting his "sanctions", and that of the celebration with new ordo with Mons. Barth. Mons. Boismenu attacks us saying that "the proclamation of Vacancy and new mass invalidity became after the episcopal consecrations". They are adding doubts to doubts in our people. I am sure that you, all you, our friends and brothers, that don't wish other thing that the good of our Saint Church, shall see for investigation of this new problems going directly to Mons. Thuc. We need to give an explanate to all this, and if in this new problems are things that have not conformation with the Canon Laws, all we must see the way to stead into the Saint Church, even if we have to recognize that we have been victimis of mistakes we didn't wish. We are "sons of the light" and so we must do all for the Church of Christ. I don't sleep thinking how great is our mision, if it is really that we have this mision. With this thing about Mons. Thuc, sometimes I am fear. I think in the trascendence of each of our steps., our words, our plains. All must be clear, or all is lost, I think.

So, with much pleasure I will write to the bishops about the points of the letters, but I will say them that now they only study and do their works, waiting for this problems can be explanate. And when we have all clear, then they could go on in a public work, declaration etc.,

The last week Mons. Corripio went to the United States to have a reunion with several bishops there. They didn't say what they spoke. I notice this to you.

About the visit of Mons. Vezelis to me, you don't know how I wish and need it! But I have not notices from him about this. Mons. Carmona told me about a future reunion of all them for april. To finish we have problems with the "red bishops" Méndez Arceo that is giving us another battle before living to diocesis where we have more people.

Certainly, we hope that the catholics shall "awake" with the new "cardinals", one Lustiger, judish, and the jesuit Henri de Lubac, a teilhardian, simple priest. I will send you my work for Trento about this. And the new Canon Law is also to comment. They work fast, and we found a stone in each step, ;God, have piety of us!

I was forgetting to tell you that the few persons that receive "The Seraph" of Mons. Vezelis, and I also, we found very strange some pharragrafs and expresions of Mons. Thuc in the first pages of his memorys. But I will comment this for you in another. About the mass of Pio V, defending it, he says some confusing things that shall need an explanate, because it seems that he speaks of a modernist! We understand this, in his way of write, but many not.

God bless you and all friends in this year. ;Other thing! I have not do much propagand to "Einsicht" because here in Mexico few persons known other language that english (I say, of our people). But I will begun to do a personal work about this and in "Trento". One that wishes to receive the buletin to translate the articles in the reunion is this person:

Lic. Antonio Leño Alvarez del Castillo
Loma Larga ~~3888~~ (3888) Lomas del Valle
Ciudad Universitaria
Guadalajara, Jalisco,
República Mexicana.

Repit:

Loma Larga 3888, Lomas del Valle, Ciudad Universitaria, Guadala

We have enjoyed to much the last numbers. I beg you a great favor. I read in the last number about the miracle of the divine Blood in a corporal during the mass. I don't understand much; I should like to put all about this in "Trento". I will agree you a little narration about this. I am sure that it will do a great good to all those who know it.

I have do a comentary to your article you sent me, that will appear in "Trento" of january. Your article which title is "Some considerations about consacractions..." and my article I think gives a good explain to the theme. I add my article to this. We all you and us have the problem that we have not enough aid of traductors. Here this costs to much money and some things we can not give to unknown persons. About me, I can not do more. In the mornings I can not leave the bed a cause of my sick heart, and hard pains in all the body; I have to wait medicins do the efects. And in the evening and night when I work (not daily) I become ~~so~~ very tired. I lost in my pains 15 or more days in a month. Add to this the personal atentions I have to give to my mother. She is visible worst every day. Pray for us.

Well, for all this, I send you my article in spanish, a copy of the one I sent to impresores of "Trento". Our people is so happy with our bishops, seminarists, etc.,! I think what they fill when they have notices about Mons. Thuc as that we have. I know of many that are in doubts. Some says us here that we did not do well sending to our priests to be ordeined by someone we didn't know. The worst of the battle is in the United States. And there we have not way to control that people.

ABOUT M. LEFEBVRE.- I have know a few time ago that you don't recognize the ordination and consacration of M. Lefebvre, did by Liénart, mason. This is an important point that divide us, because when in the United States the buletin "Véritas" time ago, when we have hope in Lefebvre, attackk him saying Mons. was invalid (actions of him) a cause of Liénart, our priests any I make a study about this and the conclusion was ~~the~~ that looking at the Canon Law and catholic doctrine about the sacraments, the consacractions or ordinations do by an excommunicated or cismatic, are valids, if it is not known the situation of this person. The Church can not give a judge about the unknown situations. (We think this will be the case where "Ecclesia suplet". If Liénart was ordeined and consacrated bishop, even being mason, he received the orders because the orders are actions in independence of the personal situation of the person, as you know. With Liénart ~~has~~ ordeiner or consecretor bishops, were another two bishops; we have to think in this. I am sending you my study in the "Trento" about validity of Lefebvres ordinations. I hope you study this, and we are going to see the question again; this is a point in which we are obliged to have the same conviction. I beg to you humility, please, read our conclusion. I sent also some pages of the introduction to Sain⁷ Thomas Suma in the concerniente to ordinations.

ABOUT MGRM. De Lauriers.- I told you in my last that I have published an article in Trento about the Study of "Vacancy" of Mons. de Lauriers. I rectifie. I was to a point to send the article but I rectifie and I didn't it; I only send the letter, private, to Mons. Guérard de Lauriers. The article I sent was about father Barbará, inviting him to rectifie his points about conclaves. So, I had an accord with our priests and writers about not to do public our "disacords" with Mons. Guérard des Lauriers, and so, only Mons. Zamora, as I know, has written him after he has been consacrated.

To finish:

1.- We all think that no obstant the dificulties we have had after consacrations and declaration of Mons. Thuc, as we not, you "~~fault~~" have no "fault" of those things that realy has do Mons. Thuc that have us all in problems. Probably only there must have been more investigation about Monseñor, and await a little more to have all his retractations, even this don't gives confiance to catholics. The only question of Palmar with recognizing Paulo VI and his sanctions is difficult; is being too difficult to explain in our groups, but about this and the point of the new mass with Barth we are going on with Gods he.

2.- In this situation of the new dificulties all shall be clear, before any step more.

3.- About the Imperfect Council we think there is necessity to have more bishops; twelve if it is posible.

4.- In any action of bishops together we thinks that Mons. Guérard de Lauriers must not appear, if he has not the same vision of the Vacancy, that he exposes in his book. It will be terrible that in a declaration or reunion fundamental for the Saint Church, all the bishops not were in perfect accord.-(M. de Lauriérs is very known).

We must have a little patience about the Imperfect. A point about this: when we write about this themes, -as you in your very good article- and mention historic testimonies, we may put the references, to prove the evidence. Someones to whom I have read your article ask me about the references of those "conventus" you mention. Me too some times have not mention the references, but now it is necessary to prove our asserts, please.

5.- We need now our bishops and the priest with them, do the necessary studies of the actual situation. We can aid them a little but I see that they -those few that write articles- don't do profound themes. The articles I see in the buletins I know, are poor in doctrine. Well, not all of them necessary have vocation to be writers, but they have the cience and the principal thing: the special grace of God.

I think that they must do a "plain" of work, dividing the points to study, and having reuions more frecuently to have discussions about the many points. This, I think, must be at last a book; a book of doctrinal questions that don't leave doubts. After this, the Declaration, and after de Imperfect.

But now we can not go on more away of the studies, if we don't answer to the detractors (or realy advertisers of realy acts) of Mons. Thuc.

In "Trento" we shall continue with expositions of how the new church goes on, and with the comentaries to the catholic doctrine Mons. Thuc desreferences in his Declaration.

This is all about things of our Cause. Here in Mexico the situation in the economical aspect is worst every day. Pray for us, please, and we pray for you. My mother sends you greetings. I send a card to Herr Moser. Thanks for giving him.

About the gifts Mons. Musey have for us, I thank you for it, but he has not send nothing yet. I think because it costs too much and he waits to come in april.

Last notice: From Guadalajara I receive a note; they communicate to me that a person from them shall soon visit me. Don't say what for.

Yours, expecting ansiouxly your lines, in Christ,
friend,

Adrian Bista

P.D. This letter goes first and the
another document other day
because it costs too much to
send all in one package.

P.D. As not Albie suggest a
good candidate for bishop?

Yours

Guérard de Larosière must not appear, if he has not the same vision of
the Vatican, that he exposes in his book. It will be terrible that in
a declaration or reunion fundamental for the Saint Church, all the be-
shops not were in perfect accord.-(M. de Larosière is very known).

We must have a little patience about the imperfect. A point
about this: when we write about this themes, -as you in your very good
article- and mention historic testimonies, we may put the references,
to prove the evidence. Someone to whom I have read your article ask
me about the references of those "conventus" you mention. Me too some
times have not mention the references, but now it is necessary to prove
our asserts, please.

5.- We need now our bishops and the priest with them, do
the necessary studies of the actual situation. We can did them a little,
but I see that they -those few that write articles- don't do profound
themes. The articles I see in the bulletins I know, are poor in doctrine.
Well, not all of them necessary have vocation to be writers, but they
have the science and the principal thing: the special grace of God.

I think that they must do a "plain" of work, dividing the
points to study, and having reunions more frequently to have discussions
about the many points. This, I think, must be at least a book; a book
of doctrinal questions that don't leave doubts. After that, the Decla-
ration, and after be imperfect.

But now we can not go on more away of the studies, if we
don't answer to the detractors (or really advertisers of really acts) of
Mons. Thué.

In "Trento" we shall continue with expositions of how the
new church goes on, and with the commentaries to the catholic doctrine.
Mons. Thué demonstrates in his Declaration.

This is all about things of our Cause. Here in Mexico the
situation in the economical aspect is worst every day. Pray for us,
please, and we pray for you. My mother sends you greetings. I send a
cord to Herr Moser. Thanks for giving him.

About the gifts Mons. Musey have for us, I thank you for
it, but he has not send nothing yet. I think because it costs too much
and he waits to come in april.

Last notice: From Guadalupe I receive a note; they co-
municate to me that a person from them shall soon visit me. Don't say
what for.
Yours, expecting anxiously your lines, in Christ,
friend,

[Handwritten signature and notes on the right margin]