

October 6 1982

Dr. Eberhard Heller  
Germany

Dear Dr. Heller:

I have received your letter about De Kazel, José María, and father Elmer. I shall send copies of it to the others, with the translation to spanish for those that don't know english. Now, I answer about the points:

1.- It is very good for us to have your opinions about the problems. By this way Mons. Thuc has the definitive decision and we have not to be the only with the charge of responsibilities. We are in accord that De Kazel and José María have nothing to do with us. Thanks for this answer.

2.- About father Elmer. I have talked again with Mgr. Carmona about this father. Mgr. Carmona tells me that when they went to the reunion in Houston, father Elmer was in accord with the thesis about the mass and the pope, and all, with the bishops. So, they asked him for his curriculum you have. But then, father Elmer began to write his "Monographs", that I see he is sending to you. He has not sent me everyone; now I have all them because he recently sent me all. Well, I see some confusions as you say; it seems that he is not sure principally about the question of the pope. Our mexican bishops wanted to consecrate father Elmer at the same time of father Vezelis, but Mgr. Musey was not in accord, not for doctrines of father Elmer; what Mgr. Musey says, told me Mgr. Carmona, is that father Elmer is very "independently", but we think that about this, it is not an obstacle; obstacles are the doctrines that are not firmly in the truth. Our bishops didn't want to have problems with Mgr. Musey, and consecrated only fray Vezelis.

Now, they and us are in accord with you to wait a time to be sure about Father Elmer. As I know he is a priest of good will, and he is defending the consecrations and Mons. Thuc. Mgr. Carmona is going to write him in spanish and I shall translate to english the letter, to reaffirmate our position for father Elmer; I think he will understand the things. But we shall wait to be sure. I add to this copy of the letter that father Elmer has sent me about one enemy that is writing against you, Dr. Heller, and me. It is an honor for me to see our names in the same attack. I am going to send you copies of several documents in another envoy, because I need to obtain the copies, and now I want this to go soon.

III.- I think that over the way we are now seeing the steps that we must do for the good way of the questions. ¿Have you read the "Conditions per admission of the candidates to bishops" that I sent you days ago?.... I continue thinking that in questions that this we must have laws, special laws, that in this circumstances help all us to do the things as best as possible, (with the Canons of Church Laws also). I sent you the things I thought, for you to see them, and put or get out as you think it is best; Mons. Thuc has the last decision of all. But I insist, -and forgive me for this- that we must have this document of conditions approved, for all interested understand that, or they do things ~~writes~~ <sup>well</sup> -for the good of the Church-

or they can not have nothing with us. There are necessary conditions for nobody can say that "he didn't" know" his compromise.

About this there are two important points. I think the possible candidates must send to Mons. Thuc and the bishops, and to you and us, the curriculum, and also the "Tesis" they have about fundamental questions. And after this, it is good as I wrote in the "Conditions" to ~~la~~ spend two months; I think it will be much better to spend three months, to be in contact with these fathers. Having their "tesis" we can be advised since the beginning of their knowing about their doctrines, and also know other things about them; it is not enough they think as traditionalists. You know, there could be impediments that Canons mention. Mgr. Carmona and Zamora were confided with Mgr. Musey because his doctrine was good, and it is, but not his wishings about De Kazer and José María.

Well, I think we are going by good way, at all. We think it is much better to have few bishops in the good doctrine, that "ten in each pocket" that will destroy all!

4.- Today I talked with Mgr. Carmona about the thesis we have about the vacancy. It is necessary to us to be in accord in this important point. We must explain this without doubts and without discordant ~~intwo~~ <sup>between</sup> us.

The question is this: We affirm that the Roman Chair is Vacant. But, when this vacancy began? At first with father Sáenz we thought that Paulo VI has been a real Pope that have fall in heresy. Then, being his acts invalid, the elections of Juan Paulo I and Juan Paulo II were invalid. They have not been never popes. But at last we have known many things about Montini the secretary of Pio XII as his actions with comunists. As archbishop of Milán, his introduction of modernistic norms in the Mass, forbidden by the "Auctorem Fidei" and by the same Pio XII in his encíclica "Mediator Dei". This and other things conduce us to think that Montini was not able to be a Pope. This do the things more simple that if we confront the problem of an real pope becoming in heresy. ¿What about Juan XIII? He didn't conclude the council, but he had admitted to study themes as the Religious Liberty and others.

Well, I don't have doubt that you have study these question, but it is necessary for us to know your conclusions; I asked Mgr. Carmona this morning about this, and he told me that when they were to visit Mons. Thuc and you, there was no exactly conclusion about the situation of theme of the papacy in the question of when ~~begin~~ the vacancy. We need, for explain our position to others, to know what we must say: if you have a conclusion we must know it, to be in accord with you. If Mons. Thuc and you think that we must say that the study is not conclude about when the vacancy begin, we shall ~~ask~~ this, but it is very important to have an answer, an only answer, you and us. About me, I personally think that we can be sure that Montini was not able to be Pope, and about Juan XIII it is a question to study.

Dr. Helle.r, forgive me this long letters, but you see all I say is for necessity!...

5.- As you will see in the copy of the letter of father Elmer, there is one father named Cornelio Byman, (a holand) that a time ago came to Mexico to "work" ~~intwo~~ traditionalists. His work is of confussions and to be now against us. He wrote a long article in spanish against you and me, defending Lefebvre, with whom he worked in a house of the United States for a time. I am going to send copy

to you in this same week. The article is in spanish and directed specially to me, and you are mention at the final. As this father presents arzbishop Lefebvre as a white bird, that is the only hope for the catholics, and we are a layers obsesionated with an inexistant "vacancy", and we are a calumniators of the poor Lefebvre, I have think that as I have given the answer in one number of Trento about "the contradictions of Lefebvre", that the best answer is to publicate this same number if it is posible in "Einsicht", and to send numbers of this Trento in spanish to all the groups here in México where this "Cornelio" is sending his papers.

With the another documents I wã shall send you the number of Trento with the article of Cornelio; Trento and the article are in spanish, but I see you have now a new translator. I hope you see well my idea to give a good knock to Lefebvre and this defensor. May be it is providencial this article, to give ocasion to answer in europe ir something has no said, about the trahison of Lefebvre. But this I leave to your opinion.

Now I finish, (you thank God)... I thank ver very much Dr. Hiller for the check of 395 dolars! I have can now call by tele phone when it is necessary, make copies in xerox, send correspondance, and bye the necessary things for our necessities in home, and help two friends that need help. God will bless you for all!

Anacleto received your money and thanks you for it; he has to many problems with his work; we had not revolution, but the situation is terrible for all.

Greetings from Anacleto and the friends, and from my mother two, specially for Mons. Thuc. Pray for us, and we pray for you.

Yours in Jesus Christ

*Gloria Prieta*