

Anacleto González Flores y G.

INGENIERO QUIMICO

AV. RICARTE 461

MEXICO 14, D. F.

January 4th. 1984.

Dr. Eberhard Heller.
Munich.
Germany

Dear Eberhard:

Perhaps this letter reaches you with my letter and enclosures dated yesterday.

Since Gloria Riestra received your letter confirming that the september consecration and ordination by Mgr. Thuc were truth, we have been studying the problem. I traveled to Acapulco and talked with Mgr. Carmona, whom explained me that their consecrations, need to be valid and illicit.

Going over the 1918 Canon Law Code, we believe that we have basis for a very solid argumentation. The consecrations made by Mgr. Thuc in 1982 donot affect the consecrations of Mgr. Carmona and Zamora. Iti is the consecrations of Laborie, Enos. The Palmar consecrations donot affect either.

Now, both Mgr. Carmona and Zamora went to Mgr. Thuc for consecrations, in good faith, they did not know if he had made other consecrations besides Palmar. The Code of Canon Lay, Canon 2372, suspend a divinis ipso facto penalty reserved to the Holy See, to the ones that receive orders from excomunicatted, suspended, apostates, hereticals or schismatic(notorius); but the ones that in bona fide recive Holy orders from above mentioned, cannot exercise orders until receiveing dispensation. This prohibition does not have the character of a penalty, and it is not reserved to anyone.

Bishops Carmona and Zamora and ourselves, did not know and yet we donot know if Mgr. Thuc consecratted Laborie, ~~Enos~~ and ordained priests, prior to consecrations of Mgrs. Carmona and Zamora. When Father Barbará dnounced the consecrations, he had the argument that since Mgr. Thuc consecrated the Palmarians, he had been excomunicatted by the Church, and could not be absolved by Paul VI since Paul VI was no Pope. Therefore, we considred lies what he wrotte in his bulletins, and until recently when we knew that the consecration of 1982 spet. was true, we had doubts regarding previous events.

I will see Mgr. Zamora next friday, and show him the 2372 Canon, the dispensation for whom bona fide receives orders from a schismatic, if Mgr. Thuc acted like one, said dispensation can be given by any priest, and it is not a penalty.

You will receive a letter from Gloria, but we must face what Father Barbará is spreading over USA and Europe with arguments. If he wants to beleive or not that both Mgrs. Carmona and Zamora acted bona fide, it does not matter for us, it is before God, where we must be clean of guilt of trespassing the Church law willijgly.

Under the actual circumstances, where all bishops hasve submitted to the Antipope, the Church needs a way out. Iremember St. Robert Bellarmino
(pls turn)

commenting the Councils of Constanza and Pisa to end the Western Schism. On that occasion the Councils were above the Pope, when the Church Law maintains that the Pope is above the Council. But the Church "needed a way out" says Sint Robert.

You will receive a letter from Gloria. she is more prepared than me on these matters. But we must battle the acusations of Fr. Barbará, in view of the circumstances of the Church, and Canon 2372.

Yours in Chirst the King and Mary Mother of God,

Anacleto
Anacleto

Perhaps this letter reaches you with my letter and enclosures dated yesterday.

Since Gloria's letter received your letter containing the September consecration and ordination by Mr. Tison was fresh, we have been discussing the problem. I traveled to Annapolis and talked with Mr. Carson, whom explained that their consecrations need to be valid and licit.

Going over the 1918 Canon Law Code, we believe that we have done a very valid consecration. The consecrations made by Mr. Tison in 1953 do not affect the consecrations of Mr. Carson and Anacleto. It is the consecration of Anacleto that the Father consecrations doubt of order.

For both Mr. Carson and Anacleto went to Mr. Tison for consecration. In good faith, they did not know if he had made other consecrations besides Anacleto. The Code of Canon Law, Canon 1173, requires a priest to consecrate for the Holy See, to the one that receives orders from Anacleto, or to the one that receives orders from Anacleto (but the one that in some cases receive Holy Orders from Anacleto, cannot receive orders until receiving dispensation. This dispensation does not have the force of a penalty, and it is not received to anyone.

Bishops between the same and Anacleto, did not know and yet we don't know if Mr. Tison consecrated Anacleto, and ordained priests, prior to consecration of Mr. Carson and Anacleto. When Father Tison brought the consecrations, he had the argument that since Mr. Tison consecrated the Anacleto, he had been excommunicated by the Church, and could not be absolved by any priest since 1917 was no Pope. Therefore, we considered that what he wrote in his bulletin, and until recently when we knew that the consecration of 1953 was true, we had doubts regarding previous events.

I will see Mr. Carson next Friday, and then Mr. Carson will see the bishop for whom the receiver orders from a schismatic. It is not this case like one, said consecration can be given by any priest, and it is not a penalty.

You will receive a letter from Gloria, but we must face what Father Tison is spreading over USA and Europe with arguments. It is not believe or not that both Mr. Carson and Anacleto were consecrated, it does not matter for us, it is before God, where we must be clear of trespassing the Church law willingly.

Under the actual circumstances, where all bishops have submitted to the Anacleto, the Church needs a way out. I remember St. Robert Bellarmine (St. Thomas)