

Anacleto González Flores y G.
INGENIERO QUIMICO

AV. RICARTE 461

MEXICO 14, D. F.

July 5 1982.

Dr. Eberhard Heller
Anna-Dandler-Str. 5/11
D-8000 Munich 60
Alemania Occidental.

Dear Eberhard:

I write you by indication of Bishop George Rusey and Bishop Adolfo Zamora.

In United States there is an strong controversy regarding the consecrations. One posconciliar magazine, The Remnant published an strong attack against Archbishop Thuc and the mexican Bishops, this attack made by Michael Davies, one of the Lefevbre writers. As we informed you, the 3 Bishops Rusey, Carmona and Zamora had a meeting in Dallas Texas USA with 11 priests whom became fully convinced of the Sede Vacante thesis. One of them, a franciscan priest, whom has a monastery and 2 seminarians, is defending in his magazine Archbishop Thuc and the mexican Bishops.

I suggest you write directly to Bishop Rusey for more details. He can send you photocoopies of the articles por and against.

Bishop Rusey asked me the following information, which I donot have:

a-Name of Bishops whom consecratted Archbishop Thuc as Bishop.

b-Regarding Vatican II documents, which documents were signed by Archbishop Thuc and which not.

Please writte directly to Bishop George Rusey, PO Box 695, Houston Texas 77539 USA, copy to me.

As you know, Bishop Carmona consecratted in Mexico Priest Roberto Martinez and Beningo Bravo. He has posponed the consecration of Fr. Lavagnini, for reasons Bishop Zamora sent to Archbishop Thuc. The desire of Bishops Carmona and Zamora, is not to do any more consecrations specially the ones of USA until they have a green light from archbishop Thuc. The only candidate is Fr. Vida Elmer, since Fr. McKenna up to now, refuses to become Bishop and some proliberal attitudes have been vound in Fr. Daniel Jones.

Please give my best regards to your family, Dr. Miller and our friend Edmundo Rosert.

Yours in Christ,


Anacleto.